

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/890,384	34 02/15/2002		David Alan Roberts	PH99012GL	9522	
23416	7590	10/01/2003	•	EXAM	INER	
		LODGE & HUT	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL			
	P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899 ART UNIT PAPEI					
***************************************	01.,			1616	·····	
				DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. **		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	•	09/890,384	ROBERTS ET AI	L.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alton N. Pryor	1616	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however eply within the statutory minion of will apply and will expire Soute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered time IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-fir	al.	
3)☐ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und- ion of Claims			he merits is
4) 🗌	Claim(s) 1-6 and 10-22 is/are pending in the	e application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from considera	tion.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-6,10-22</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requiren	nent.	
Applicat	ion Papers	•		
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) dobjecte	d to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approve	d b)☐ disapproved by the Examir	ner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office acti	on.	
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been recei	ved.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been recei	ved in Application No	
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	l Stage
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).
) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •		·
Attachmen	t(s)			•
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:	
S. Patent and T		Action Summary	Part of	f Paper No. 15

Application/Control Number: 09/890,384

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(a)

I. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

II. Claims 1-3,10,14,16,18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Rouchaud et al (Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 1998, vol. 60 no. 4, pp. 577-84) or Viviani et al (Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology, 1998, vol. 62 no. 2 pp. 125-134). Rouchaud or Viviani teaches a method of applying isoxaflutole (herbicide) to soil. It is well known in the art that herbicides control weeds. See abstract.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6,11,15,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rouchaud or Viviani as applied to claims 1-3,10,14,16,18-22 above. See 102(b) rejection above. Rouchaud or Viviani teaches all that is recited in claims 4-6,11,15,17

Application/Control Number: 09/890,384

Art Unit: 1616

except for the depth of the soil, amount of ingredient, and rate of release of ingredient. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum depth of soil for application of ingredient. One would have been motivated to do this in order to get the maximum control of weed growth in soil. With respect to delayed release or rate of release, the term is relative. Optimum amounts of ingredients would have been determined through routine experimentation.

Claim Objection

Claims 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest the instant invention comprising the encapsulation of an isoxazole compound.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2926. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Älton Pryor

Primary Examiner, AUALFOR N. PRYOR 9/29//03 PRIMARY EXAMINER